# Concomitant Reconstruction of Anterior Cruciate Ligament and Medial Collateral Ligament Surgical procedure and clinical outcomes

## RADU ORFANU<sup>1</sup>, STEFAN MOGOS<sup>1,3\*</sup>, ANTOINE EDU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Foisor Orthopedics Hospital Bucharest, 35-37 Ferdinand Blvd., 021382, Bucharest, Romania

<sup>2</sup> Nicolae Malaxa Clinical Hospital, 12 Vergului Road, 022416, Bucharest, Romania

<sup>3</sup> Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, 39 Dionisie Lupu Str., 020021, Bucharest, Romania

The purpose of this paper was to evaluate the postoperative clinical outcomes of combined anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and medial collateral ligament (MCL) reconstruction and to briefly describe the surgical procedure. The current study is a retrospective observational analysis, which included 12 patients with acute ACL and grade III MCL injuries. Combined ACL-MCL reconstruction was performed using bone-tendon-bone patellar autograft and semitendinosus and gracilis autograft, respectively. Preoperative and postoperative assessments were performed for each patient using the same protocol. Combined ACL-MCL reconstruction is associated with improved postoperative results. No recurrent knee instability was reported at the end of follow-up period. In conclusion, acute ACL and grade III MCL injuries can be successfully treated by combined ACL-MCL reconstruction, which is an effective option for the treatment of these patients, associated with good clinical results.

Keywords: Anterior cruciate ligament, medial collateral ligament, instability, combined reconstruction.

Medial collateral ligament (MCL) lesions are the most common ligamentous injuries of the knee [1] and in almost 95% of the cases are accompanied by anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tears [2, 3]. Concomitant ACL-MCL injuries can be associated with important rotatory laxity, valgus and sagittal instability [4, 5]. The therapeutic options of ACL and MCL injuries are either nonsurgical treatment of MCL and ACL reconstruction or simultaneous ACL-MCL reconstruction [6-8]. Some authors consider that MCL injuries can heal conservatively in 8-12 weeks and only in rare cases the reconstruction of both ligaments is necessary. Chronic anterior or medial knee instability associated with clinical symptoms is an indication for surgery [9]. Furthermore, Duffy et al. recommend surgical treatment in symptomatic grade II or III MCL tears, in case of persistent laxity or instability [10].

On the other hand, more recent studies suggest that concomitant reconstruction of ACL and MCL is useful in order to regain knee stability and to reduce the stress on ACL graft [11, 12]. According to Gale et al., the concurrent reconstruction of MCL is recommended in grade III-MCL injuries [13]. Moreover, Ahn et al. [14] showed that untreated grade II-MCL injuries can be responsible for knee instability after isolated ACL reconstruction. The authors strongly recommend the concomitant management of ACL and MCL lesions. In a study performed on 57 patients (37 with isolated ACL tears and 20 with simultaneous ACL-MCL injuries), Zaffagnini et al. revealed that single ACL reconstruction in patients with combined ACL and MCL lesions can lead to residual laxity [15].

The objective of this paper was to evaluate the postoperative clinical outcomes of combined anterior cruciate ligament and medial collateral ligament reconstruction and to briefly describe the surgical procedure. The hypothesis was that one-stage ACL-MCL reconstruction will improve the clinical outcomes of these patients.

#### **Experimental part**

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The current study represents a retrospective observational analysis of 12 patients with acute ACL and grade III MCL injuries who underwent combined ACL-MCL reconstruction between January 2015 and December 2016.

The inclusion criteria was represented by the presence of combined acute ACL and grade III MCL lesions. There were excluded from the study: patients with pre-existing knee osteoarthritis, previous surgical procedures on the knee, recurrent ACL lesions, posterior cruciate ligament or LCL tears associated with ACL-MCL.

In all cases, the reconstruction was performed as onestage surgical procedure. Twelve patients (8 males and 4 females) with a mean age of  $30.25 \pm 6.82$  met the inclusion criteria and were eligible for the study. Each patient was evaluated preoperatively and postoperatively (at 12) months) using the same protocol: Lachman test, pivot shift test, valgus stress test, instrumental differential anteroposterior laxity, differential medial opening on valgus stress radiographs, the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) subjective and objective knee scores, the Lysholm score and the Tegner activity score. The surgical procedure was performed by a single surgeon. The pain management included the administration of etoricoxib 120 mg, one hour prior to surgery and 24 hours postoperatively [16]. A proper control of pain is essential especially after the surgical procedure, in order to obtain a rapid rehabilitation [17].

The patient is positioned supine with a tourniquet in the proximal thigh with the knee flexed at 90 degrees, held in position with a lateral post and a foot roll. An incision of about 10 to 15 cm is performed on the antero-medial aspect of the knee, intersecting the joint line. The hamstrings tendons are harvested using a stripper and used as MCL autograft (Figure 1).

A *V* shaped tunnel is drilled into the proximal tibia at the level of the distal superficial MCL insertion. The femoral

REV.CHIM.(Bucharest) ♦ 69 ♦ No. 9 ♦ 2018

<sup>\*</sup> email: stefan.mogos@gmail.com; Phone:+4021.252.00.57



Fig. 1. Hamstrings harvest for MCL autograft

Fig. 2. Femoral tunnel drilling for MCL autograft

insertion of the MCL is identified approximately 3 mm proximal and 5 mm posterior to the medial epicondyle. After the insertion point is found, a tunnel is reamed using an ACL guide to a depth of 30 mm. A loop is left in place for later graft passage (fig. 2).

The next step of the procedure is harvesting the patellar tendon in a standard fashion and using it as the ACL graft (fig. 3). Outside-in femoral and tibial guides are used both for the femoral and the tibial tunnels of the ACL. The graft is passed through the femoral and tibial tunnels from proximal to distal. The ACL graft is then fixed with 2 bioabsorbable interference screws with the knee positioned in 30° of flexion and a posterior drawer.



Fig. 3. Bone-tendon-bone harvest for ACL autograft

The hamstrings graft is passed through the *V* shaped tibial tunnel, then between layers 2 and 3 and finally is pulled through the femoral tunnel (fig. 4). The knee is positioned in  $30^{\circ}$  of flexion and neutral rotation with a slight varus applied by the assistant with concomitant traction applied to the graft. The graft is fixed in the femoral tunnel with a bioabsorbable interference screw. In order to



Fig. 4. MCL passage through V-shaped tunnel and femoral tunnel



Fig. 5. Femoral fixation for the MCL with a bioabsorbable screw

recreate the distal insertion of the deep MCL, a suture anchor was inserted distal to the joint line at about 10-12 mm, to additionally secure the autograft (fig. 5).

The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). The paired t-test was used in order to compare the data before and after the surgery. The value of p < 0.05 was correlated with statistical significance.

### **Results and discussions**

Clinical testing showed improved postoperative results concerning the Lachman test, the pivot shift test and the valgus stress test in all cases. The instrumental differential antero-posterior laxity using Rolimeter arthrometer decreased from  $7.53 \pm 1.45$  preoperatively to  $0.82 \pm 0.39$  postoperatively, with a statistically significant difference. The mean value of the differential medial opening on valgus stress radiographs decreased from an average of  $5.67 \pm 1.20$  preoperatively to  $0.87 \pm 0.38$  after surgery and the difference was statistically significant.

Objective IKDC score improved from severely abnormal or abnormal preoperatively to nearly normal or normal at 12 months after the surgery. The mean subjective IKDC score and Lysholm score significantly increased postoperatively. According to the Tegner activity scale, all patients returned to the activity level registered before the injury (table 1). The results of the present study revealed that the combined reconstruction of ACL - grade III MCL was associated with improved clinical outcomes.

However, the studies published regarding the optimal management of ACL-MCL injuries have controversial results [18-21]. The association of conservative treatment of MCL and secondary ACL reconstruction is an effective option as demonstrated in several studies [22-25]. For example, according to Halinen et al., non-operative management of MCL tears is associated with rapid rehabilitation of flexion and quadriceps muscle strength [26].

On the other hand, Battaglia et al. [27] showed that isolated ACL reconstruction in patients diagnosed with concomitant ACL-MCL injuries may result in poor outcomes. In this case, ACL healing can be affected, which can cause late graft failure. Zhang et al. [2] demonstrated that combined ACL-MCL reconstruction determined improved postoperative outcomes both post-operatively and at the end of the follow-up period. Another study evaluated the short term results in patients with grade II MCL lesions associated with ACL rupture and concluded that valgus and anterior stability improved in all cases. IKDC score was graded as nearly normal or normal postoperatively and Lysholm score improved in all patients [28]. Their results are consistent with the results obtained in our study.

Contrarily, Linen et al., in a study performed on 47 patients with combined ACL-grade III MCL injuries, divided into two groups – one with MCL nonoperative treatment, followed

| Test/score                  | ore Mean values ± standard deviation [min; max] |                 | p value |  |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|---------|--|
|                             | Preoperative                                    | Postoperative   |         |  |
| Lachman test                | Grade III                                       | Negative        | -       |  |
| Pivot shift test            | Grade III                                       | Negative        | -       |  |
| Valgus stress test          | Grade III                                       | Negative        | -       |  |
| Differential                | 5.67 ± 1.20                                     | 0.87 ± 0.38     | < 0.01  | Table 1  |
| medial knee<br>opening (mm) | [4.4, 8.2]                                      | [0.4, 1.8]      |         | COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CLINICAL PARAMETERS<br>AND KNEE SCORES |
| Instrumental                | 7.53 ± 1.45                                     | 0.82 ± 0.39     | < 0.01  | -  |
| differential AP             | [5.5, 10.5]                                     | [0.4, 1.5]      |         |  |
| laxity (mm)                 |   |                 |         |  |
| IKDC subjective             | 48.66 ± 6.37                                    | 88.49 ± 4.76    | < 0.01  |  |
| score                       | [38.5, 58.6]                                    | [78.2, 94.6]    |         |  |
|                             | severely abnormal                               | nearly normal   | -       | -  |
| IKDC objective              | (5 cases - 41.67%)                              | (3 cases - 25%) |         |  |
| score                       | abnormal  | normal          |         |  |
|                             | (7 cases - 58.33%)                              | (9 cases - 75%) |         |  |
| Lysholm score               | 60.67 ± 3.33                                    | 90.5 ± 3.92     | < 0.01  |  |
|                             | [56, 66]  | [86, 98]        |         |  |

by ACL reconstruction and the other with simultaneous ACL-MCL reconstruction, concluded that no statistically significant differences were registered between the two categories of patients [29]. Moreover, Westermann et al. [30] showed better results for patients with MCL nonoperative treatment, followed by ACL reconstruction. Combined ACL-MCL reconstruction was related to frequent stiffness, unfavorable outcome and reduced activity at follow-up.

The main limitations of the current study are related to the reduced number of patients and to the retrospective design. Moreover, a comparative analysis between isolated ACL reconstruction and combined ACL-MCL reconstruction may reveal more properly the potential advantages or disadvantages of each technique. Due to technological progress in the field, we can expect further improvements in knee sports surgery [31-34].

## Conclusions

The simultaneous ACL-MCL reconstruction is an effective option for the treatment of patients with combined

ACL and MCL acute injuries, associated with favorable clinical outcomes.

#### References

1. MIYAMOTO, R.G., BOSCO, J.A., SHERMAN, O.H. Treatment of medial collateral ligament injuries. J Am Acad Orthop Surg., **17**, no. 3, 2009, p. 152-161

2. ZHANG, H., SUN, Y., HAN, X., WANG, Y., WANG, L., ALQUHALI, A., BAI, X. Simultaneous Reconstruction of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament and Medial Collateral Ligament in Patients With Chronic ACL-MCL Lesions: A Minimum 2-Year Follow-up Study. Am. J. Sports Med., **42**, no. 7, 2014, p. 1675-81

3. WIJDICKS, C.A., GRIFFITH, C.J., JOHANSEN, S., ENGEBRETSEN, L., LAPRADE, R.F. Injuries to the medial collateral ligament and associated medial structures of the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Am., **92**, no. 5, 2010, p. 1266-1280

4. JIANG, K.N., WEST, R.V. Management of Chronic Combined ACL Medial Posteromedial Instability of the Knee. Sports Med Arthrosc Rev., **23**, no. 2, 2015, p. 85-90

5. KURIMURA, M., MATSUMOTO, H., FUJIKAWA, K., TOYAMA, Y. Factors for the presence of anteromedial rotatory instability of the knee. J Orthop Sci., **9**, no. 4, 2004, p. 380-385 6. DONG, J., WANG, X.F., MEN, X., ZHU, J., WALKER, G.N., ZHENG, X., GAO, J.B., CHEN, B., WANG, F., ZHANG, Y., GAO, S.J. Surgical Treatment of Acute Grade III Medial Collateral Ligament Injury Combined With Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury: Anatomic Ligament Repair Versus Triangular Ligament Reconstruction. Arthroscopy., **31**, no. 6, 2015, p. 1108-1116

7. GRANT, J.A., TANNENBAUM, E., MILLER, B.S., BEDI, A. Treatment of combined complete tears of the anterior cruciate and medial collateral ligaments. Arthroscopy., **28**, no. 1, 2012, p. 110-122

8. MEDVECKY, M.J., TOMASZEWSKI, P. Management of Acute Combined ACL-Medial and Posteromedial Instability of the Knee. Sports Med Arthrosc Rev. **23**, no. 2, 2015, p. 7-14

9. HETSRONI, I., MANN, G. Combined Reconstruction of the Medial Collateral Ligament and Anterior Cruciate Ligament Using Ipsilateral Quadriceps Tendon-Bone and Bone-Patellar Tendon-Bone Autografts. Arthrosc.Tech., **5**, no. 3, 2016, p. 579-87

10. DUFFY, P.S., MIYAMOTO, R.G. Management of medial collateral ligament injuries in the knee: an update and review. Phys Sportsmed., **38**, no. 2, 2010, p. 48-54

11. KITAMURA, N., OGAWA, M., KONDO, E., KITAYAMA, S., TOHYAMA, H., YASUDA, K. A novel medial collateral ligament reconstruction procedure using semitendinosus tendon autograft in patients with multiligamentous knee injuries: clinical outcomes. Am J Sports Med., **41**, no. 6, 2013, p. 1274-1281

12. OSLI, L., PAPALIA, R., DEL BUONO, A., MERLO, F., DENARO, V., MAFFULLI, N., Good results five years after surgical management of anterior cruciate ligament tears and meniscal and cartilage injuries. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc., 18, no. 3, 2010, p. 312-316

13. GALLO, R.A., KOZLANSKY, G., BONAZZA, N., WARREN, R.F. Combined Anterior Cruciate Ligament and Medial Collateral Ligament Reconstruction Using a Single Achilles Tendon Allograft. Arthrosc. Tech., **6**, no. 5, 2017, p. 1821-1827

14. AHN, J.H., LEE S.H. Risk factors for knee instability after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc., **24**, no. 9, 2016, p. 2936-2942

15. ZAFFAGNINI, S., BIGNOZZI, S., MARTELLI, S., LOPOMO, N., MARCACCI, M. Does ACL reconstruction restore knee stability in combined lesions?: An in vivo study. Clin Orthop Relat Res., **454**, 2007, p. 95-99

16. MUNTEANU, A.M., CIONAC FLORESCU, S., ANASTASE, D.M., STOICA, C.I. Is there any analgesic benefit from preoperative vs. postoperative administration of etoricoxib in total knee arthroplasty under spinal anaesthesia?: A randomised double-blind placebocontrolled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol., **33**, no. 11, 2016, p. 840-845

17. ANASTASE, D.M., FLORESCU S.C., MUNTEANU, A.M., STOICA, I., ANTONESCU, D., Chirurgia (Bucur). The influence of the analgesic model on postoperative pain in major knee surgery. **108**, no. 6, 2013, p. 764-769

18. NAKAMURA, N., HORIBE, S., TORITSUKA, Y., MITSUOKA, T., YOSHIKAWA, H., SHINO, K. Acute grade III medial collateral ligament injury of the knee associated with anterior cruciate ligament tear. The usefulness of magnetic resonance imaging in determining a treatment regimen. Am J Sports Med., **31**, no. 2, 2003, p. 261-267

19. SANKAR, W.N., WELLS, L., SENNETT, B.J., WIESEL, B.B., GANLEY, T.J. Combined anterior cruciate ligament and medial collateral ligament injuries in adolescents. J Pediatr Orthop., **26**, no. 6, 2006, p. 733-736 20. AZAR, F.M. Evaluation and treatment of chronic medial collateral ligament injuries of the knee. Sports Med Arthrosc., **14**, no. 2, 2006, p. 84-90

21. KOGA, H., MUNETA, T., YAGISHITA, K., JU, Y.J., SEKIYA, I. Surgical management of grade 3 medial knee injuries combined with cruciate ligament injuries. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc., **20**, no. 1, 2012, p. 88-94

22. SERRA CRUZ, R., OLIVETTO, J., DEAN, C.S., CHAHLA, J., LAPRADE, R. Superficial Medial Collateral Ligament of the Knee: Anatomic Augmentation With Semitendinosus and Gracilis Tendon Autografts. Arthrosc. Tech., **5**, no. 2, 2016, p. 347-352

23. HARA, K., NIGA, S., IKEDA, H., CHO, S., MUNETA, T. Isolated anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in patients with chronic anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency combined with grade II valgus laxity. Am. J. Sports Med., **36**, no. 2, 2008, p. 333-39

24. SCHELBOURNE, K.D., PORTER, D.A. Anterior cruciate ligamentmedial collateral ligament injury: nonoperative management of medial collateral ligament tears with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. A preliminary report. Am. J. Sports Med., **20**, no. 3, 1992, p. 283-86

25. HILLARD-SEMBELL, D., DANIEL, D.M., STONE, M.L., DOBSON, B.E., FITHIAN, D.C. Combined injuries of the anterior cruciate and medial collateral ligaments of the knee. Effect of treatment on stability and function of the joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am., **78**, no. 2, 1996, p. 169-76

26. HALINEN, J., LINDAHL, J., HIRVENSALO, E. Range of motion and quadriceps muscle power after early surgical treatment of acute combined anterior cruciate and grade-III medial collateral ligament injuries. A prospective randomized study. J Bone Joint Surg Am., **91**, no. 6, 2009, p. 1305-1312

27. BATTAGLIA, M.J., LENHOFF, M.W., EHTESHAMI, J.R., LYMAN, S., PROVENCHER, M.T., WICKIEWICZ, T.L., WARREN, R.F. Medial collateral ligament injuries and subsequent load on the anterior cruciate ligament: a biomechanical evaluation in a cadaveric model. Am. J. Sports Med., **37**, no. 2, 2009, p. 305-11

28. BLANKE, F., VONWEHREN, L., PAGENSTERT, G., VALDERRABANO, V., AJEWSKI, M. Surgical technique for treatment of concomitant grade II MCL lesion in patients with ACL rupture. Acta Orthop Belg., **81**, no. 3, 2015, p. 442-446

29. LINEN, J.H., LINDAHL, J., HIRVENSALO, E., SANTAVIRTA, S. MCL Rupture Need Not be Treated Operatively in Acute Combined ACL and Grade III MCL Injuries. A Prospective Randomized Study. Arthrosc., **28**, no. 8, p. 187

30. WESTERMANN, R.W., SPINDLER, K.P., HETTRICH, C.M., WOLF, B.R. Outcomes Following ACL and Grade III MCL Injuries. Is there a Role for MCL Repair? Orthop. J. Sports Med., **5**, no. 3, 2017, p. 1

31. MILEA, PL., DASCALU, M., FRANTI, E.D., BARBILIAN, A., STOICA, I.C. Tactile Feedback Experiments for Forearm Prosthesis with Myoelectric Control. Rom. J. Inf. Sci. Tech., **20**, no. 20, 2017, p. 101-114 32. MILEA, PL., DASCALU, M., OPRIS, C.O., FRANTI, E., DUMITRACHE, M., STOICA, C.I. Using pressure sensors for motion detection and actuation of remote manipulation devices. Rom. J. Inf. Sci. Tech., **19**, no. 4, 2016, p. 321-330

33. HORN, T.J., HARRYSSON, O.L. Overview of current additive manufacturing technologies and selected applications. Sci. Prog., **95**, no. 3, 2012, p. 255-282

34. BURNHAM, J.M., META, F., LIZZIO, V., MAKHNI, E.C., BOZIC, K.J. Technology assessment and cost-effectiveness in orthopedics: how to measure outcomes and deliver value in a constantly changing healthcare environment. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med., **10**, no. 2, 2017, p. :233-239.

Manuscript received: 10.08.2018